

AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Committee on Environmental Health

Noise: A Hazard for the Fetus and Newborn

ABSTRACT. Noise is ubiquitous in our environment. High intensities of noise have been associated with numerous health effects in adults, including noise-induced hearing loss and high blood pressure. The intent of this statement is to provide pediatricians and others with information on the potential health effects of noise on the fetus and newborn. The information presented here supports a number of recommendations for both pediatric practice and government policy.

ABBREVIATIONS. dB, decibels; dBA, A-weight; DNL, day-night average sound level; ICD-9, *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision*; dBALeq24, personal equivalent 24-hour noise exposure; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; IEC, individualized environmental care; EEG, electroencephalogram.

BACKGROUND

Noise is undesirable sound. Sound is vibration in a medium, usually air. Sound has intensity (loudness), frequency (pitch), periodicity, and duration. The loudness of sound is measured in decibels (dB), a logarithmic scale. The ability to hear sounds at certain frequencies is more readily lost in response to noise; therefore, the intensity is adjusted for frequency to give the A-weight (dBA). Most of our knowledge about the damage to people from noise is from studies of persons with occupational exposures. The standard for the workplace is no more than 8 hours of exposure to 90 dBA, 4 hours to 95 dBA, 2 hours to 100 dBA, with no exposure allowed to continuous noise above 115 dBA or impulse noise above 140 dBA. In nonoccupational settings, environmental noise is expressed as a day-night average sound level (DNL). For the protection of the public health, the US Environmental Protection Agency has proposed a DNL of 55 dB during waking hours and 45 dB during sleeping hours in neighborhoods, and 45 dB in daytime and 35 dB at night in hospitals.¹

Exposure of adults to excessive noise results in: (1) noise-induced hearing loss that shows a clear dose-response relationship between its incidence and the intensity of exposure and (2) noise-induced stimulation of the autonomic nervous system, which reportedly results in high blood pressure and cardiovascular disease (reviewed by Kam et al²).

Noise may damage fetuses and newborns. Many pregnant women are exposed to noise in the workplace.^{3,4} This statement reviews the evidence col-

lected since 1974 that fetuses and newborns exposed to excessive noise may suffer noise-induced hearing loss and other health effects.

THE FETUS

Development of Hearing

The human cochlea and peripheral sensory end organs complete their normal development by 24 weeks of gestation. Ultrasonographic observations of blink-startle responses to vibroacoustic stimulation are first elicited at 24 to 25 weeks of gestation, and are consistently present after 28 weeks, indicating maturation of the auditory pathways of the central nervous system.⁶ The hearing threshold (the intensity at which one perceives sound) at 27 to 29 weeks of gestation is approximately 40 dB and decreases to a nearly adult level of 13.5 dB by 42 weeks of gestation, indicating continuing postnatal maturation of these pathways.⁷ Thus, exposure of the fetus and newborn to noise occurs during the normal development and maturation of the sense of hearing. Sound is well transmitted into the uterine environment.^{8,9} One to 4 seconds of 100 to 130 dB of 1220- to 15 000-Hz sound is used as a stimulus to document the well-being of the fetus.^{10,11}

Potential Fetal Effects

In one study,¹² children with high-frequency hearing loss tested at 4 to 10 years of age were more likely to have been born to women who were exposed consistently to occupational noise in the range of 85 to 95 dB during pregnancy. However, one of the several weaknesses in this study was retrospective noise evaluations. Studies using animals have demonstrated an increased sensitivity of the developing cochlea to noise-induced damage,^{13,14} but this effect has not been confirmed in humans.

A Chinese study found that self-reported exposure to noise during the first trimester of pregnancy was associated with the congenital anomalies listed in the *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision* (ICD-9) classifications of chromosomal anomalies and other categories (ICD-9 758 and 759) (ratio of observed to expected, 2.3; $P < .05$).¹⁵ A slight increase in reports on birth certificates of observable birth defects (excluding polydactyly) was noted in one study of black women exposed to airport noise (dB >90 ; $P < .02$),¹⁶ but no such risk was found in a more well-designed second study.¹⁷ In addition, no increased risk of malformation was found in offspring of women occupationally exposed to 80 dB during an 8-hour shift.¹⁸ Teratogenic effects have been described in animals prenatally exposed to noise.^{19,20}

The recommendations in this statement do not indicate an exclusive course of treatment or serve as a standard of medical care. Variations, taking into account individual circumstances, may be appropriate.

PEDIATRICS (ISSN 0031 4005). Copyright © 1997 by the American Academy of Pediatrics.

Rhesus monkeys that had been exposed to noise in utero had persistently higher levels of cortisol and corticotropin than did unexposed animals,²¹ as well as more abnormal social behavior.²² In rats, prenatal noise exposure also increased serum corticosterone levels and produced abnormal behavior.²³ In humans, maternal placental lactogen was significantly lower after 36 weeks of gestation in women subjected to airport noise than women living in quiet areas.²⁴ In other studies, no consistent hormonal or blood flow changes were found in experimentally exposed humans.^{25,26}

An increased risk of shortened gestation has been shown in four studies. Women exposed to 80 dB for an 8-hour shift were at increased risk of preterm delivery (relative risk, 1.6; 95% confidence interval, 0.9 to 2.9).²⁷ In a study involving 22 761 live births, women with self-reported noise exposure in health care jobs had a slight increase in risk of preterm delivery (ratio of observed to expected, 1.5; $P < .05$).²⁸ Results in a third study showed the length of gestation in female infants to be inversely correlated to maternal residential noise exposure from an airport ($r = -0.49$; $P = .0008$).²⁹ In a case-control study of premature births among US nurses, self-reported loud, constant noise was significantly associated with gestations of <37 weeks ($P < .005$).³⁰ Four other studies have examined this issue; results of two studies showed no increase in preterm birth between noise-exposed and unexposed women. Two other studies were inconclusive.^{15,31-33}

Decreased birth weight has also been associated with noise exposure. In a retrospective Danish study, the birth weights of infants born in the hospital to women aged 20 to 34 years were significantly less (69 g, $P = .03$) if the mother resided in an area where the DNL of aircraft noise exceeded 60 to 65 dB.³⁴ Socio-economic status was controlled by assessing health insurance, and smoking status was not determined. After adjusting for family income and infant gender, the proportion of birth weights <3000 g was significantly higher in the high noise group (23.8% vs 18.1%, $P = .02$). In a separate study, no effect of air traffic noise on birth weight was found²⁹ when noise was analyzed as a continuous variable. When cate-

gorical analysis was used, however, birth weights of female infants in the high noise group (>99 dBA) were significantly less than those in the combined low and moderate noise exposure group.³⁵ Increases in the relative rates of newborns with a birth weight of <3000 g was associated with increasing maternal noise exposure from increases in the number of jets using a nearby airport.³⁶ In addition, a prospective study of 200 women showed no association of noise >85 dBA_{Leq24} (personal equivalent 24-hour noise exposure) and decreased birth weight.³⁷ This study also found no association between smoking and birth weight. Reduced fetal weights have been observed in some studies using animals,^{19,38} but not in others.³⁹ In summary, there have been few well-controlled randomized studies investigating the relationship between noise and fetal hearing loss, prematurity and decreased birth weight. However, several of these studies suggest that noise may be associated with these outcomes. It is possible that noise could be a marker for other risk factors.

THE NEWBORN

Noise-induced Hearing Loss

Numerous studies have documented the continuous noise exposure of infants, without intervening periods of quiet, associated with neonatal intensive care units (NICUs)⁴⁰⁻⁴³ (see Table). Noise levels and their effects generated by the new modalities of respiratory therapy (eg, high-frequency oscillatory ventilation and high-frequency jet ventilation) or by extracorporeal membrane oxygenation have not been reported.

Many studies have documented hearing loss in children cared for in the NICU (NICU graduates).^{45,46} Three such studies (since the 1974 statement by the American Academy of Pediatrics' Committee on Environmental Health⁵) that investigated the synergism of aminoglycosides and noise exposure had conflicting results.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ In one, all five cases of moderate-to-severe sensorineural hearing loss were in infants treated with kanamycin and kept in incubators, suggesting a synergistic response.⁴⁷ In addition, 52% of 56 incubator-treated children with normal

TABLE. Noise levels

Quality	Peak Intensity, dBA	Example ²	Inside Incubator ⁴¹	Effect
Just audible	10	Heartbeat		
Very quiet	20-30	Whisper		<35 dBA desired for sleep
Quiet	40	Average home	Background	<50 dBA desired for work
	50	Light traffic		
Moderately loud	60	Normal conversation	Motor on and off	Annoyance
	70	Vacuum cleaner	Bubbling in ventilator tubing	
Loud	80	Heavy traffic	Tapping incubator with fingers	Hearing loss with persistent exposure
	90	Telephone ringing	Closing the metal cabinet doors under the incubator	
		Pneumatic drill		
Very loud	100	Power mower	Closing solid plastic porthole	Pain and distress
Uncomfortably loud	120	Boom box in car ⁴⁴	Dropping the head of the mattress	
	140	Jet plane 30 m overhead		

hearing had minor changes on their audiograms suggesting minor noise-induced cochlear lesions.⁴⁸ D'Souza et al⁴⁹ studied 26 survivors of severe perinatal asphyxia, who were kept in incubators and 15 of 26 received gentamicin. Only one child had sensorineural deafness. Anagnostakis et al⁴⁶ assessed hearing at 6.5 years of age in 98 preterm NICU graduates. Nine had sensorineural hearing loss that was significantly associated with apneic spells, hyperbilirubinemia, and hypothermia and not associated with duration of incubator care or exposure to aminoglycosides or conventional ventilation.

Other Effects

Long et al⁵⁰ demonstrated that hypoxemia occurred in infants in conjunction with sudden loud noise (of approximately 80 dB). One study found that loud noises in the NICU significantly changed the behavioral and physiological responses of infants.⁵¹ Chick hatchlings reared in an NICU-like environment with similar noise levels failed to demonstrate habituation in their peeping behavior after a white noise stimulus.⁵²

Three studies have examined the effects of noise reduction. In one, earmuffs were worn by premature infants, and the effect on sleep time was examined. Substantial increases in quiet sleep time occurred while the infants wore the earmuffs.⁵³ Further research is required to determine the effect of the sensorineural deprivation. In the second study, individualized environmental care (IEC) to low birth weight infants included reduction in the noise caused by NICU activities and less opening and closing of the incubator. The group treated with environmental interventions needed significantly fewer days of respiratory support on a ventilator and required fewer days of oxygen administration.⁵⁴ In a further study of IEC that incorporated prolonged periods of quiet, significant quantitative differences in regional electroencephalograms (EEGs) obtained at 2 weeks after the (maternal) expected date of confinement were found between low-risk premature infants randomized to the IEC or to the standard care protocols.⁵⁵ The EEGs of IEC infants were not significantly different from those of full-term infants in the control group, suggesting that the neurologic development of infants in the IEC group more closely resembles the development that occurs in utero.

Several studies have investigated noise reduction techniques (for a review of noise reduction techniques, see Kam et al²). In one study,⁵⁶ covering the infant incubator significantly reduced the level of noise within an incubator. In addition, asking staff to modify their behavior resulted in a lowering of baseline noise levels.⁵⁰ A survey of hospital employees indicated their perception that noise levels were high enough to interfere with their work and with the comfort and recovery of adult patients.⁵⁷

CONCLUSION

Results of these studies suggest that: (1) exposure to excessive noise during pregnancy may result in high-frequency hearing loss in newborns, and may be associated with prematurity and intrauterine

growth retardation, (2) exposure to noise in the NICU may result in cochlear damage, and (3) exposure to noise and other environmental factors in the NICU may disrupt the normal growth and development of premature infants. On the basis of these study results, noise-induced health effects on fetuses and newborns merit further study as clinical and public health concerns.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Pediatricians should encourage research to determine health effects of noise exposure on pregnant women and their fetuses and infants.
2. Pediatricians are encouraged to consider screening for noise-induced hearing loss those infants who were exposed to excessive noise in the uterus or as a newborn. Occupational sources of such noise include jobs in which women are required to wear protective hearing devices. Environmental sources of such noise include rock concerts, boom boxes in cars, and airport jet traffic.
3. Pediatricians are encouraged to monitor sound in the NICU, and within incubators. A noise level >45 dB is of concern. Ideally, as proposed by the US Environmental Protection Agency, a noise level exceeding 45 dB is best avoided. NICU personnel should devise simple strategies to reduce noise in the nursery (no tapping or writing on the tops of incubators and hoods, careful closing of incubator doors, soft shoes). If such simple, inexpensive strategies fail to reduce monitored noise levels, more technical strategies need to be considered (incubator covers, use of less noisy equipment). When purchasing new equipment or renovating facilities, sound control should be considered.
4. Pediatricians should encourage manufacturers to reduce noise from medical equipment.
5. The National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health should consider further research on noise exposure during pregnancy.
6. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration should consider pregnancy in setting their occupational noise standards.

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, 1996 TO 1997

Ruth A. Etzel, MD, PhD, Chairperson
Sophie J. Balk, MD
Cynthia F. Bearer, MD, PhD
Mark D. Miller, MD
Katherine M. Shea, MD, MPH
Peter R. Simon, MD, MPH

LIAISON REPRESENTATIVES
Henry Falk, MD

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
Robert W. Miller, MD
National Cancer Institute
Walter Rogan, MD

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

CONSULTANTS

Jim G. Hendrick, MD
Lawrence Schell, PhD

REFERENCES

- Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Noise Abatement and Control. *Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare With an Adequate Margin of Safety* (Report No. 5509-74-004). Washington, DC: Government Printing Office; 1974
- Kam PC, Kam AC, Thompson JF. Noise pollution in the anaesthetic and intensive care environment. *Anaesthesia*. 1994;49:982-986
- Rudolph L, Forest CS. Female reproductive toxicology. In: LaDou J, ed. *Occupational Medicine*. Norwalk, CT: Appleton & Lange; 1990:275-287
- Paul M, ed. *Occupational and Environmental Reproductive Hazards. A Guide for Clinicians*. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins; 1993:xviii
- American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Environmental Hazards. Noise pollution: neonatal aspects. *Pediatrics*. 1974;54:476-479
- Birnholtz JC, Benacerraf BR. The development of human fetal hearing. *Science*. 1983;222:516-518
- Lary S, Briassoulis G, de Vries L, Dubowitz LM, Dubowitz V. Hearing threshold in preterm and term infants by auditory brainstem response. *J Pediatr*. 1985;107:593-599
- National Research Council; Committee on Hearing, Bioacoustics, and Biomechanics; Assembly of Behavioral and Social Sciences. *Prenatal Effects of Exposure to High-Level Noise. Report of Working Group 85*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1982
- Gerhardt KJ, Abrams RM, Oliver CC. Sound environment of the fetal sheep. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1990;162:282-287
- Yao QW, Jakobsson J, Nyman M, Rabaeus H, Till O, Westgren M. Fetal responses to different intensity levels of vibroacoustic stimulation. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1990;75:206-209
- Serafini P, Lindsay MJB, Nagey DA, Pupkin MJ, Tseng P, Crenshaw C Jr. Antepartum fetal heart rate response to sound stimulation: the acoustic stimulation test. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1984;148:41-45
- Lalande NM, Hetu R, Lambert J. Is occupational noise exposure during pregnancy a risk factor of damage to the auditory system of the fetus? *Am J Ind Med*. 1986;10:427-435
- Lenoir M, Pujol R. Sensitive period for acoustic trauma in the rat pup cochlea: histological findings. *Acta Otolaryngol*. 1980;89:317-322
- Douek E, Dodson HC, Bannister LH, Ashcroft P, Humphries KN. Effects of incubator noise on the cochlea of the newborn. *Lancet*. 1976;20:1110-1113
- Zhang J, Cai WW, Lee DJ. Occupational hazards and pregnancy outcomes. *Am J Ind Med*. 1992;21:397-408
- Jones FN, Tauscher J. Residence under an airport landing pattern as a factor in teratism. *Arch Environ Health*. 1978;33:10-12
- Edmonds LD, Layde PM, Erickson JD. Airport noise and teratogenesis. *Arch Environ Health*. 1979;34:243-247
- Kurppa K, Rantala K, Nurminen T, Holmberg PC, Starck J. Noise exposure during pregnancy and selected structural malformations in infants. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 1989;15:111-116
- Geber WF. Developmental effect of chronic maternal audiovisual stress on the rat fetus. *J Embryol Exp Morph*. 1966;16:1-16
- Murata M, Takigawa H, Sakamoto H. Teratogenic effects of noise and cadmium in mice: does noise have teratogenic potential? *J Toxicol Environ Health*. 1993;39:237-245
- Clarke AS, Wittwer DJ, Abbott DH, Schneider ML. Long-term effects of prenatal stress on HPA axis activity in juvenile rhesus monkeys. *Dev Psychobiol*. 1994;27:257-269
- Clarke AS, Schneider ML. Prenatal stress has long-term effects on behavioral responses to stress in juvenile rhesus monkeys. *Dev Psychobiol*. 1993;26:293-304
- Weinstock M, Matlina E, Maor GI, Rosen H, McEwen BS. Prenatal stress selectively alters the reactivity of the hypothalamic-pituitary adrenal system in the female rat. *Brain Res*. 1992;13:195-200
- Ando Y, Hattori H. Effects of noise on human placental lactogen (HPL) levels in maternal plasma. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1977;84:115-118
- Follenius M, Brandenberger G, Lecornu C, Simeoni M, Reinhardt B. Plasma catecholamine and pituitary adrenal hormones in response to noise exposure. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 1980;43:253-261
- Hartikainen-Sorri A-L, Kirkinen P, Sorri M, Anttonen H, Tuimala R. No effect of experimental noise exposure on human pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1991;77:611-615
- Mamelle N, Laumon B, Lazar P. Prematurity and occupational activity during pregnancy. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1984;119:309-322
- McDonald AD, McDonald JC, Armstrong B, Cherry NM, Nolin AD, Robert D. Prematurity and work in pregnancy. *Br J Ind Med*. 1988;45:56-62
- Schell LM. Environmental noise and human prenatal growth. *Am J Phys Anthropol*. 1981;56:63-70
- Luke B, Mamelle N, Keith L, et al. The association between occupational factors and preterm birth: a United States nurses' study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1995;173:849-862
- Peoples-Sheps MD, Siegel E, Suchindran CM, Origasa H, Ware A, Barakat A. Characteristics of maternal employment during pregnancy: effects on low birthweight. *Am J Public Health*. 1991;81:1007-1012
- Hartikainen AL, Sorri M, Anttonen H, Tuimala R, Laara E. Effect of occupational noise on the course and outcome of pregnancy. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 1994;20:444-450
- Nurminen T, Kurppa K. Occupational noise exposure and course of pregnancy. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 1989;15:117-124
- Knipschild P, Meijer H, Salle H. Aircraft noise and birth weight. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 1981;48:131-136
- Schell LM. Effects of pollutants on human prenatal and postnatal growth: noise, lead, polychlorobiphenyl compounds, and toxic wastes. *Yearbook Phys Anthropol*. 1991;34:157-188
- Ando Y. Effects of daily noise on fetuses and cerebral hemisphere specialization in children. *J Sound Vibration*. 1988;127:411-417
- Wu TN, Chen LJ, Lai JS, Ko GN, Shen CY, Chang PY. Prospective study of noise exposure during pregnancy on birth weight. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1996;143:792-796
- Nawrot PS, Cook R, Staples RE. Embryotoxicity of various noise stimuli in the mouse. *Teratology*. 1980;32:279-289
- Kimmel CA, Cook RO, Staples RE. Teratogenic potential of noise in mice and rats. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*. 1976;36:239-245
- Bess FH, Peek BF, Chapman JJ. Further observations on noise levels in infant incubators. *Pediatrics*. 1979;63:100-106
- Thomas K. How the NICU environment sounds to a preterm infant. *MCN Am J Matern Child Nurs*. 1989;14:249-251
- Ciesielski S, Kopka J, Kidawa B. Incubator noise and vibration—possible iatrogenic influence on neonate. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 1980;1:309-316
- Nzama NP, Nolte AG, Dorfling CS. Noise in a neonatal unit: guidelines for the reduction or prevention of noise. *Curationis*. 1995;18:16-21
- Brookhouser PE, Worthington DW, Kelly WJ. Noise-induced hearing loss in children. *Laryngoscope*. 1992;102:645-655
- Bergman I, Hirsch RP, Fria TJ, Shapiro SM, Holzman I, Painter MJ. Cause of hearing loss in the high-risk premature infant. *J Pediatr*. 1985;106:95-101
- Anagnostakis D, Petmezakis J, Papazissis G, Messaritakis J, Matsaniotis N. Hearing loss in low-birth-weight infants. *Am J Dis Child*. 1982;136:602-604
- Winkel S, Bonding P, Larsen PK, Roosen J. Possible effects of kanamycin and incubation in newborn children with low birth weight. *Acta Paediatr Scand*. 1978;67:709-715
- Stennert E, Schulte FJ, Vollrath M, Brunner E, Frauenrath C. The etiology of neurosensory hearing defects in preterm infants. *Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 1978;221:171-182
- D'Souza SW, McCartney E, Nolan M, Taylor IG. Hearing, speech and language in survivors of severe perinatal asphyxia. *Arch Dis Child*. 1981;56:245-252
- Long JG, Lucey JF, Philip AG. Noise and hypoxemia in the intensive care nursery. *Pediatrics*. 1980;65:143-145
- Zahr LK, Balian S. Responses of premature infants to routine nursing interventions and noise in the NICU. *Nurs Res*. 1995;44:179-185
- Philbin MK, Ballweg DD, Gray L. The effect of an intensive care unit sound environment on the development of habituation in healthy avian neonates. *Dev Psychobiol*. 1994;27:11-21
- D'Agati S, Adams JA, Zabaleta IA, Abreu SJ, Sackner MA. The effect of noise reduction on behavioral states in newborns. *Pediatr Res*. 1994;35:221A
- Als H, Lawhon G, Brown E, et al. Individualized behavioral and environmental care for the very low birth weight preterm infant at high risk for bronchopulmonary dysplasia: neonatal intensive care unit and developmental outcome. *Pediatrics*. 1986;78:1123-1132
- Buehler DM, Als H, Duffy FH, McAnulty GB, Liederman J. Effectiveness of individualized developmental care for low-risk preterm infants: behavioral and electrophysiologic evidence. *Pediatrics*. 1995;96:923-932
- Saunders AN. Incubator noise: a method to decrease decibels. *Pediatr Nurs*. 1995;21:265-268
- Bayo MV, Garcia AM, Garcia A. Noise levels in an urban hospital and workers' subjective responses. *Arch Environ Health*. 1995;50:247-251

Noise: A Hazard for the Fetus and Newborn

Committee on Environmental Health

Pediatrics 1997;100;724

DOI: 10.1542/peds.100.4.724

Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/100/4/724>

References

This article cites 53 articles, 7 of which you can access for free at:
<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/100/4/724.full#ref-list-1>

Subspecialty Collections

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):
Fetus/Newborn Infant
http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/fetus:newborn_infant_sub

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
<https://shop.aap.org/licensing-permissions/>

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
<http://classic.pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/reprints>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since . Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 1997 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN:

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Noise: A Hazard for the Fetus and Newborn

Committee on Environmental Health

Pediatrics 1997;100:724

DOI: 10.1542/peds.100.4.724

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/100/4/724>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since . Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 1997 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN:

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

